

Class 26: Modeling II

November 29, 2017

Slides are licensed under the CC BY-SA 3.0 license.

Types of outliers in linear regression

How do outliers influence the least squares line in this plot?

To answer this question think of where the regression line would be with and without the outlier(s). Without the outliers the regression line would be steeper, and lie closer to the larger group of observations. With the outliers the line is pulled up and away from some of the observations in the larger group.



How do outliers influence the least squares line in this plot?



How do outliers influence the least squares line in this plot?

Without the outlier there is no evident relationship between *x* and *y*.



• Outliers are points that lie away from the cloud of points.

- Outliers are points that lie away from the cloud of points.
- Outliers that lie horizontally away from the center of the cloud are called *high leverage* points.

- Outliers are points that lie away from the cloud of points.
- Outliers that lie horizontally away from the center of the cloud are called *high leverage* points.
- High leverage points that actually influence the slope of the regression line are called *influential* points.

- Outliers are points that lie away from the cloud of points.
- Outliers that lie horizontally away from the center of the cloud are called *high leverage* points.
- High leverage points that actually influence the slope of the regression line are called *influential* points.
- In order to determine if a point is influential, visualize the regression line with and without the point. Does the slope of the line change considerably? If so, then the point is influential. If not, then itÕs not an influential point.

Influential points

Data are available on the log of the surface temperature and the log of the light intensity of 47 stars in the star cluster CYG OB1.





Which of the below best describes the outlier?

- (a) influential
- (b) high leverage
- (c) none of the above
- (d) there are no outliers



Which of the below best describes the outlier?

- (a) influential
- (b) high leverage
- (c) none of the above
- (d) there are no outliers



Does this outlier influence the slope of the regression line?



Does this outlier influence the slope of the regression line?

Not much...



Which of following is true?

- (a) Influential points always change the intercept of the regression line.
- (b) Influential points always reduce R^2 .
- (c) It is much more likely for a low leverage point to be influential, than a high leverage point.
- (d) When the data set includes an influential point, the relationship between the explanatory variable and the response variable is always nonlinear.
- (e) None of the above.

Which of following is true?

- (a) Influential points always change the intercept of the regression line.
- (b) Influential points always reduce R^2 .
- (c) It is much more likely for a low leverage point to be influential, than a high leverage point.
- (d) When the data set includes an influential point, the relationship between the explanatory variable and the response variable is always nonlinear.
- (e) None of the above.

Recap (cont.)

 $R = 0.08, R^2 = 0.0064$



$$R = 0.79, R^2 = 0.6241$$



Inference for linear regression

In 1966 Cyril Burt published a paper called "The genetic determination of differences in intelligence: A study of monozygotic twins reared apart?" The data consist of IQ scores for [an assumed random sample of] 27 identical twins, one raised by foster parents, the other by the biological parents.



Which of the following is false?

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.20760	9.29990	0.990	0.332
bioIQ	0.90144	0.09633	9.358	1.2e-09

Residual standard error: 7.729 on 25 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.7779,Adjusted R-squared: 0.769 F-statistic: 87.56 on 1 and 25 DF, p-value: 1.204e-09

- (a) Additional 10 points in the biological twin's IQ is associated with additional 9 points in the foster twin's IQ, on average.
- (b) Roughly 78% of the foster twins' IQs can be accurately predicted by the model.
- (c) The linear model is $\widehat{fosterIQ} = 9.2 + 0.9 \times bioIQ$.
- (d) Foster twins with IQs higher than average IQs tend to have biological twins with higher than average IQs as well.

Which of the following is false?

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.20760	9.29990	0.990	0.332
bioIQ	0.90144	0.09633	9.358	1.2e-09

Residual standard error: 7.729 on 25 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.7779,Adjusted R-squared: 0.769 F-statistic: 87.56 on 1 and 25 DF, p-value: 1.204e-09

- (a) Additional 10 points in the biological twin's IQ is associated with additional 9 points in the foster twin's IQ, on average.
- (b) Roughly 78% of the foster twins' IQs can be accurately predicted by the model.
- (c) The linear model is $\widehat{fosterIQ} = 9.2 + 0.9 \times bioIQ$.
- (d) Foster twins with IQs higher than average IQs tend to have biological twins with higher than average IQs as well.

Testing for the slope

Assuming that these 27 twins comprise a representative sample of all twins separated at birth, we would like to test if these data provide convincing evidence that the IQ of the biological twin is a significant predictor of IQ of the foster twin. What are the appropriate hypotheses?

- (a) $H_0: b_0 = 0; H_A: b_0 \neq 0$
- (b) $H_0: \beta_0 = 0; H_A: \beta_0 \neq 0$
- (c) $H_0: b_1 = 0; H_A: b_1 \neq 0$
- (d) $H_0: \beta_1 = 0; H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$

Testing for the slope

Assuming that these 27 twins comprise a representative sample of all twins separated at birth, we would like to test if these data provide convincing evidence that the IQ of the biological twin is a significant predictor of IQ of the foster twin. What are the appropriate hypotheses?

- (a) $H_0: b_0 = 0; H_A: b_0 \neq 0$
- (b) $H_0: \beta_0 = 0; H_A: \beta_0 \neq 0$
- (c) $H_0: b_1 = 0; H_A: b_1 \neq 0$
- (d) $H_0: \beta_1 = 0; H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

Remember: Test statistic, $T = \frac{point \ estimate-null \ value}{SE}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
bioIQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

Remember: Test statistic, $T = \frac{point \ estimate-null \ value}{SE}$

• Point estimate = b_1 is the observed slope.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
bioIQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

Remember: Test statistic, $T = \frac{point \ estimate-null \ value}{SE}$

- Point estimate = b_1 is the observed slope.
- SE_{b_1} is the standard error associated with the slope.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
bioIQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

Remember: Test statistic, $T = \frac{point \ estimate-null \ value}{SE}$

- Point estimate = b_1 is the observed slope.
- SE_{b_1} is the standard error associated with the slope.
- Degrees of freedom associated with the slope is df = n 2, where *n* is the sample size.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
bioIQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

Remember: Test statistic, $T = \frac{point \ estimate-null \ value}{SE}$

- Point estimate = b_1 is the observed slope.
- SE_{b_1} is the standard error associated with the slope.
- Degrees of freedom associated with the slope is df = n 2, where *n* is the sample size.

Remember: We lose 1 degree of freedom for each parameter we estimate, and in simple linear regression we estimate 2 parameters, β_0 and β_1 .

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

$$T = \frac{0.9014 - 0}{0.0963} = 9.36$$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

$$T = \frac{0.9014 - 0}{0.0963} = 9.36$$

df = 27 - 2 = 25

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

$$T = \frac{0.9014 - 0}{0.0963} = 9.36$$

df = 27 - 2 = 25
$$p - value = P(|T| > 9.36) < 0.01$$

% College graduate vs. % Hispanic in LA

What can you say about the relationship between % college graduate and % Hispanic in a sample of 100 zip code areas in LA?



% College educated vs. % Hispanic in LA - another look

What can you say about the relationship between of % college graduate and % Hispanic in a sample of 100 zip code areas in LA?



% Hispanic

Which of the below is the best interpretation of the slope?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.7290	0.0308	23.68	0.0000
%Hispanic	-0.7527	0.0501	-15.01	0.0000

- (a) A 1% increase in Hispanic residents in a zip code area in LA is associated with a 75% decrease in % of college grads.
- (b) A 1% increase in Hispanic residents in a zip code area in LA is associated with a 0.75% decrease in % of college grads.
- (c) An additional 1% of Hispanic residents decreases the % of college graduates in a zip code area in LA by 0.75%.
- (d) In zip code areas with no Hispanic residents, % of college graduates is expected to be 75%.

Which of the below is the best interpretation of the slope?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.7290	0.0308	23.68	0.0000
%Hispanic	-0.7527	0.0501	-15.01	0.0000

- (a) A 1% increase in Hispanic residents in a zip code area in LA is associated with a 75% decrease in % of college grads.
- (b) A 1% increase in Hispanic residents in a zip code area in LA is associated with a 0.75% decrease in % of college grads.
- (c) An additional 1% of Hispanic residents decreases the % of college graduates in a zip code area in LA by 0.75%.
- (d) In zip code areas with no Hispanic residents, % of college graduates is expected to be 75%.

% College educated vs. % Hispanic in LA - linear model

Do these data provide convincing evidence that there is a statistically significant relationship between % Hispanic and % college graduates in zip code areas in LA?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.7290	0.0308	23.68	0.0000
hispanic	-0.7527	0.0501	-15.01	0.0000

How reliable is this p-value if these zip code areas are not randomly selected?

% College educated vs. % Hispanic in LA - linear model

Do these data provide convincing evidence that there is a statistically significant relationship between % Hispanic and % college graduates in zip code areas in LA?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.7290	0.0308	23.68	0.0000
hispanic	-0.7527	0.0501	-15.01	0.0000

Yes, the p-value for % Hispanic is low, indicating that the data provide convincing evidence that the slope parameter is different than 0.

How reliable is this p-value if these zip code areas are not randomly selected?

% College educated vs. % Hispanic in LA - linear model

Do these data provide convincing evidence that there is a statistically significant relationship between % Hispanic and % college graduates in zip code areas in LA?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.7290	0.0308	23.68	0.0000
hispanic	-0.7527	0.0501	-15.01	0.0000

Yes, the p-value for % Hispanic is low, indicating that the data provide convincing evidence that the slope parameter is different than 0.

How reliable is this p-value if these zip code areas are not randomly selected?

Not very...

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

- (a) $9.2076 \pm 1.65 \times 9.2999$
- (b) $0.9014 \pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$
- (c) $0.9014 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$
- (d) $9.2076 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
bioIQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

n = 27 df = 27 - 2 = 25

- (a) $9.2076 \pm 1.65 \times 9.2999$
- (b) $0.9014 \pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$
- (c) $0.9014 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$
- (d) $9.2076 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
bioIQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

- (a) $9.2076 \pm 1.65 \times 9.2999$
- (b) $0.9014 \pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$
- (c) $0.9014 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$
- (d) $9.2076 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$

n = 27 df = 27 - 2 = 2595%: $t_{25}^{\star} = 2.06$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

- (a) $9.2076 \pm 1.65 \times 9.2999$
- (b) $0.9014 \pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$
- (c) $0.9014 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$
- (d) $9.2076 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$

 $n = 27 \quad df = 27 - 2 = 25$ 95%: $t_{25}^{\star} = 2.06$ 0.9014 $\pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.2076	9.2999	0.99	0.3316
biolQ	0.9014	0.0963	9.36	0.0000

- (a) $9.2076 \pm 1.65 \times 9.2999$
- (b) $0.9014 \pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$
- (c) $0.9014 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$
- (d) $9.2076 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0963$

 $n = 27 \quad df = 27 - 2 = 25$ 95%: $t_{25}^{\star} = 2.06$ 0.9014 $\pm 2.06 \times 0.0963$ (0.7 , 1.1) • Inference for the slope for a single-predictor linear regression model:

- Inference for the slope for a single-predictor linear regression model:
 - Hypothesis test:

$$T = \frac{b_1 - null \, value}{SE_{b_1}} \qquad df = n - 2$$

- Inference for the slope for a single-predictor linear regression model:
 - Hypothesis test:

$$T = \frac{b_1 - null \, value}{SE_{b_1}} \qquad df = n - 2$$

• Confidence interval:

$$b_1 \pm t^{\star}_{df=n-2}SE_{b_1}$$

- Inference for the slope for a single-predictor linear regression model:
 - Hypothesis test:

$$T = \frac{b_1 - null \, value}{SE_{b_1}} \qquad df = n - 2$$

· Confidence interval:

$$b_1 \pm t^{\star}_{df=n-2}SE_{b_1}$$

• The null value is often 0 since we are usually checking for *any* relationship between the explanatory and the response variable.

- Inference for the slope for a single-predictor linear regression model:
 - Hypothesis test:

$$T = \frac{b_1 - null \, value}{SE_{b_1}} \qquad df = n - 2$$

• Confidence interval:

$$b_1 \pm t^{\star}_{df=n-2}SE_{b_1}$$

- The null value is often 0 since we are usually checking for *any* relationship between the explanatory and the response variable.
- The regression output gives b_1 , SE_{b_1} , and *two-tailed* p-value for the *t*-test for the slope where the null value is 0.

- Inference for the slope for a single-predictor linear regression model:
 - Hypothesis test:

$$T = \frac{b_1 - null \, value}{SE_{b_1}} \qquad df = n - 2$$

• Confidence interval:

$$b_1 \pm t^{\star}_{df=n-2}SE_{b_1}$$

- The null value is often 0 since we are usually checking for *any* relationship between the explanatory and the response variable.
- The regression output gives b_1 , SE_{b_1} , and *two-tailed* p-value for the *t*-test for the slope where the null value is 0.
- We rarely do inference on the intercept, so we'll be focusing on the estimates and inference for the slope.

• Always be aware of the type of data you're working with: random sample, non-random sample, or population.

- Always be aware of the type of data you're working with: random sample, non-random sample, or population.
- Statistical inference, and the resulting p-values, are meaningless when you already have population data.

- Always be aware of the type of data you're working with: random sample, non-random sample, or population.
- Statistical inference, and the resulting p-values, are meaningless when you already have population data.
- If you have a sample that is non-random (biased), inference on the results will be unreliable.

- Always be aware of the type of data you're working with: random sample, non-random sample, or population.
- Statistical inference, and the resulting p-values, are meaningless when you already have population data.
- If you have a sample that is non-random (biased), inference on the results will be unreliable.
- The ultimate goal is to have independent observations.